

School of Medicine

Comparing ACDF Outcomes by Cervical Spine

Level: A Single Center Retrospective Study Davis Martin¹, Ryan Schroeder¹, Matthew Spitchley¹, Clifton Daigle¹, Claudia Leonardi², Berje Shammassian³, Amit Bhandutia²

Louisiana State University Health Sciences Center New Orleans, School of Medicine¹, Department of **Orthopedics²**, **Department of Neurosurgery³**

Background

• Anterior cervical discectomy and fusion (ACDF) is commonly utilized for treatment of cervical radiculopathy and myelopathy.

• However, data on ACDF outcomes based on C3-C4 fusion involvement are minimally

Table 1: Demographics and clinical characteristics
 of both patient groups (N=860)

Characteristics	ACDF without C3-C4 (n = 569)	ACDF with C3-C4 (n = 291)	p-value
Age, years (mean, SD)	53.6 (10.7)	58.4 (9.5)	<0.0001
BMI, kg/m ² (mean, SD)	30.3 (7.3)	29.3 (6.9)	0.047
Sex, % (n)			<0.0001
Female	53.1 (302)	29.9 (87)	
Male	46.9 (267)	70.1 (204)	
Race, % (n)			<0.0001
Black or African American	36.0 (205)	56.7 (165)	
White or Caucasian	60.3 (343)	39.9 (116)	
Other	3.2 (18)	2.4 (7)	
Not available	0.5 (3)	1.0 (3)	
Insurance, % (n)			0.007
Medicaid	27.4 (156)	18.9 (55)	
Medicare	32.4 (184)	43.6 (127)	
Self-pay	20.9 (119)	17.2 (50)	
Private	12.1 (69)	12.4 (36)	
Other	7.2 (41)	7.9 (23)	



Department of

Orthopaedics

- 860 patients were included in the study.
- Patients with C3-C4 involvement were more likely to be older, African American, and male (Table 1).
- Both groups had a high percentage of Medicaid and Medicare patients (Table 1). • ACDF with C3-C4 involvement was associated with longer LOS, a higher prevalence of at least one minor complications, no change or a worsening of neurological symptoms following surgery, and an increased need for rehabilitation (Table 2).

available in literature.

• Given the complex anatomy at this level of the cervical spine, the authors hypothesize that ACDF cases involving C3-C4 fusion lead to higher rates of postoperative dysphagia and greater hospital length of stay.

Objectives

1) To compare outcomes of ACDF involving C3-C4 fusion to outcomes of ACDF without C3-C4 involvement.



• A retrospective chart review was conducted on

patients who underwent ACDF (without ACDF = Anterior cervical discectomy and fusion; BMI = body mass index; SD= standard deviation.

• There was no significant difference in major complications, new neurological deficits, or rates of revision between the two groups (Table 2).

Conclusion

1) Patients undergoing ACDF involving a C3-C4 fusion were more likely to have longer lengths of stays, higher rates of complications, less improvement in neurologic symptoms, and an increased need for rehabilitation services.

involvement of C1,C2, or T1) between January 2012 to September 2022 at a single academic center. .

- Eligible patients have been diagnosed with cervical myelopathy or radiculopathy before surgery due to degenerative disc disease.
- Excluded patients included trauma patients, patients undergoing revision procedures, and patients with a previous ACDF surgery.
- Two patient groups were identified. One group underwent ACDF without C3-C4 fusion, and the second group underwent ACDF with C3-C4 fusion.
- Recorded variables include those bolded in Table 1 and Table 2.
- *Campbell et* al¹ .was used to define major and minor complications.

Table 2: Outcomes of both patient groups (N=860)

Characteristics	ACDF without C3-C4 (n = 569)	ACDF with C3-C4 (n = 291)	p-value
LOS, mean (95%CI)	1.5 (1.3 – 1.8)	3.0 (2.6 – 3.6)	<0.0001
Discharge location, % (n)		/	< 0.0001
Home	92.8 (528)	83.5 (243)	
In-patient/out-patient rehabilitation	7.2 (41)	16.5 (48)	
Additional posterior approach	0.7 (4)	1.7 (10)	0.175
needed, % (n)			
NS post-surgery not available, % (n)	25.9 (147)	23.7 (69)	0.479
NS post-surgery (n=642), % (n)			0.0001
Resolved/Got Better	75.5 (317)	60.8 (135)	
No change/Worsen	24.5 (103)	39.2 (87)	
Complications			
At least 1 major complication, % (n)	12.8 (73)	15.1 (44)	0.354
New neurologic deficit, % (n)	5.1 (29)	5.2 (15)	0.971
Revision surgery, % (n)	3.2 (18)	4.5 (13)	0.332
At least 1 minor complication, % (n)	18.8 (107)	29.6 (86)	0.0004

References

1. Campbell, P. G.; Yadla, S.; Malone, J.; Maltenfort, M. G.; Harrop, J. S.; Sharan, A. D.; Ratliff, J. K., Complications related to instrumentation in spine surgery: a prospective analysis. Neurosurg Focus 2011, 31 (4), E10.

Acknowledgments

Thank you to Dr. Amit Bhandutia, Dr. Berje Shammassian, Louisiana State University Health Sciences Center New Orleans, the Department of Orthopedics, and the Department of Neurosurgery for their guidance and



t-test were used for data analysis.







