

"Vertiflex Outcomes on Lumbar Spinal Stenosis"

Marcelle Ellis, Michael Forte, Carlos Trigo, MD, Thomas Finke, MD, Casey A. Murphy, MD LSU Health Sciences Center School of Medicine, VA Medical Center



Introduction

Vertiflex and Stenosis Pictures



Interspinous process decompression (IPD) is a procedure that:

• restricts lumbar spine extension through implantation of a spacer between adjacent spinous processes reduces nerve pinching and leg pain associated with Lumbar spinal stenosis (LSS): a type of spinal canal or nerve root narrowing LSS can manifest as central canal and neuroforaminal stenosis, either independently or simultaneously. Goal: determine how Vertiflex, a minimally invasive IPD, improves pain and functionality in veteran populations showing symptoms of LSS. We will compare preoperative and postoperative results using chart analysis, health surveys, and a secondary questionnaire.



Pre versus Post Vertiflex procedure:

• severe central canal stenosis patients (n=8) and mild/moderate neuroforaminal stenosis patients (n=11)



Figure 3:

Implanted Vertiflex

eral Recess

Foraminal

had a significant decrease in overall pain levels (p=0.0144 and p=0.0011, respectively)
Severe central canal stenosis patients:

significant increase in the number of blocks they could walk before stopping due to pain (p=0.0368).

Preoperative comparison between mild and severe neuroforaminal stenosis groups (n=13):

Blocks walked significant (p value of 0.0444)
Pain level significant (p value of 0.0022)

Fewer than half of patients in any stenosis group received a

post-Vertiflex surgery or injection

No patients had their Vertiflex explanted

Timepoint	Severe/Mild Paired T Test	year of surveys)	P value	Timepoint	Severe/Mild Paired T Test	year of surveys)	P value
	Central Canal Stenosis Severe				Neuroforamin al Stenosis Mild vs Severe		
Pre vs Post	Group, pain level	8(10	0.014446344		Group, pain	12/10)	0.0000000000
	Central Canal			Pre	level Neuroforamin al Stenosis Mild vs	13(19)	0.002206446
	Stenosis SEVERE Group, blocks			Pre	Severe Group, blocks walked	13(19)	0.044369515
Pre vs Post	able to walk Neuroforamin al Stenosis MODERATE Group, pain	8(10	0.036792444		Central Canal Stenosis Mild Group VS Severe, pain		
Pre vs Post	level	11 (15)	0.001116421	Pre	level	14 (20)	0.01723572
		С	oncl	usio	n		
	:0		to:				
	Timepoint Pre vs Post Pre vs Post	Summary of Severe/Mild Paired T Test Central Canal Stenosis Severe Group, pain level Central Canal Stenosis SEVERE Group, blocks Pre vs Post able to walk Neuroforamin al Stenosis MODERATE Group, pain level	Summary of Severe/Mild (through 1 year of surveys) Timepoint Paired T Test Central Canal Stenosis Severe Group, pain (through 1 year of surveys) Pre vs Post Central Canal Stenosis SEVERE Group, blocks Pre vs Post able to walk 8(10 Neuroforamin al Stenosis MODERATE Group, pain Pre vs Post level 11 (15)	Summary of (through 1 Severe/Mild year of Paired T Test surveys) P value Central Canal Stenosis Severe Group, pain Pre vs Post level 8(10 0.014446344 Central Canal Stenosis SEVERE Group, blocks Pre vs Post able to walk 8(10 0.036792444 Neuroforamin al Stenosis MODERATE Group, pain Pre vs Post level 11 (15) 0.001116421	Summary of Severe/Mild Paired T Test Image: Central Canal Stenosis P value Timepoint Central Canal Stenosis Severe Group, pain 0.014446344 Pre Pre vs Post level 8(10 0.014446344 Pre Central Canal Stenosis Stevere Group, pain Pre Pre Pre vs Post level 8(10 0.036792444 Pre Pre vs Post able to walk 8(10 0.036792444 Pre Neuroforamin al Stenosis MODERATE Group, pain 0.001116421 Pre Pre vs Post level 11 (15) 0.001116421 Pre	Summary of Severe/Mild Paired T Test Summary of Severe/Mild Paired T Test Summary of Severe/Mild Paired T Test Central Canal Stenosis Central Canal Stenosis Neuroforamin al Stenosis Neuroforamin al Stenosis Pre vs Post level 8(10 0.014446344 Neuroforamin al Stenosis Central Canal Stenosis Severe Group, pain Neuroforamin al Stenosis Neuroforamin al Stenosis Pre vs Post level 8(10 0.036792444 Neuroforamin al Stenosis Pre vs Post able to walk 8(10 0.036792444 Central Canal Stenosis Mild vs Pre vs Post able to walk 8(10 0.036792444 Central Canal Stenosis Mild Group, blocks Pre vs Post level 11 (15) 0.001116421 Pre level	Summary of Severe/Mild Paired T Test (Intrough 1 year of Paired T Test Summary of Severe/Mild year of Paired T Test (Intrough 1 year of Severe/Mild year of Paired T Test Central Canal Stenosis (Central Canal Stenosis Neuroforamin al Stenosis Neuroforamin al Stenosis Pre vs Post level 8(10 0.014446344 Neuroforamin al Stenosis Central Canal Stenosis 8(10 0.014446344 Neuroforamin al Stenosis Stevere Group, pain Stenosis 8(10 0.036792444 Neuroforamin al Stenosis Pre vs Post able to walk 8(10 0.036792444 Pre Walked 13(19) Pre vs Post able to walk 8(10 0.036792444 Central Canal Stenosis Mild Group, blocks 13(19) Pre vs Post able to walk 8(10 0.001116421 Pre Severe, pain level 14 (20)



- Sample of veterans at the New Orleans Veteran Affairs Medical Center (n=14)
- Four-question secondary questionnaire over the phone about pain and capabilities at or around one year after Vertiflex surgery
- Compared with initial post-operative survey responses
- Survey Questions:
- Patient's current level of pain on a scale of 1-10
- 2. The number of blocks they can walk postoperatively before having to stop due to pain
- 3. Have they received any procedures following the surgery including injections, low-back surgeries, and Vertiflex explants
- Why or why not they would recommend Vertiflex to another veteran
- Response data was stratified by the types and

Question	Answer	Question	Answer
1	A. Before: /10. B. After: /10	3	A. Vestiflex Explanted : B. Low back Surgery: C. Low back injections:
2	A. Before: Blocks. B. After : Blocks	4	A.Because it (didn't) releived your back pain: B. Because it (didn't) relieved your leg pain with walking: C.If none of the above are the reason, explain why in one sentence.

Secondary Questionnaire

- **increase** the distance that both groups of patients could walk pain-free
- Patients rarely had follow-up procedures and each stratified



• mild/moderate and severe

Central Canal and Neuroforaminal Stenosis

• P values of less than 0.05 using a paired t test were derived and considered significant.

This research project was supported through the LSU Health Sciences Center, School of Medicine. group consistently had more patients who would recommend Vertiflex versus not recommend.
We anticipate that these results will support a more general correlation between the Vertiflex procedure and an overall improvement in pain management for patients with LSS.